CUBE AVERAGE THICKNESS PROVIDES A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE OF DIABETIC MACULAR EDEMA
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To provide a descriptive analysis of spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) based morphologic patterns of diabetic macular edema (DME) and to study the association of alteration in macular thickness parameters with severity of diabetic retinopathy.

Method: Twenty consecutive cases each of diabetes mellitus without retinopathy, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, proliferative diabetic retinopathy (based on ETDRS classification) and 20 healthy controls were recruited. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was assessed using logMAR scale. All the study subjects were subjected to SD-OCT imaging using macular cube 512x128 protocol. Central subfield thickness (CST) (µm) and cube average thickness (CAT) (µm) were documented. Cases with CAT more than 250 µm were subclassified based on morphology. Statistical analysis was done.

Results: Significant increase in CST and CAT and decrease in BCVA was found between the study groups (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p<0.0001, respectively).Significant negative correlation of BCVA was found with CST (r=0.4, p<0.05) and CAT (r=0.2, p<0.001).Forty cases with CAT above 250 µm were further classified: diffuse retinal thickening (n=60%, 24/40); cystoid macular edema (n=25%, 10/40); serous retinal detachment (n=15%, 6/40).

Conclusion: Significant increase in CST and CAT on SD-OCT is associated with increase in severity of diabetic retinopathy and decrease in BCVA. Cube average thickness provides a global perspective of defining DME within the macular cube.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a disease of considerable morbidity and mortality. It is estimated that 382 million people had diabetes mellitus in 2013. This number is expected to rise to 592 million by 2035.1

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is the major cause of vision loss associated with diabetic retinopathy. Correlation of macular thickness parameters on spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) i.e mean macular thickness, retinal thickness, foveal thickness and central macular thickness have been shown to correlate with severity of diabetic retinopathy and visual acuity.2-7

Diabetic retinopathy results in microangiopathy due to prolonged hyperglycemia.8-10 A number of interconnecting biochemical pathways have been proposed to explain the cause-effect relationship. Our earlier studies have demonstrated that serum levels of vascular endothelial growth factor, intracellular cell adhesion molecule-1 and N-Carboxy methyl lysine increase significantly with severity of diabetic retinopathy.11, 12

Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography has been recognized as a reliable investigative tool for quantifying and classifying macular edema with excellent reproducibility.13-16 In our previous studies, we have studied the association of several SD-OCT based parameters like macular thickness, inner segment ellipsoid bandand external limiting membrane disruptionwith the severity of diabetic retinopathy.17, 18

Based on a systematic review analysis for detection of clinically significant macular edema (CSME) on SD-
OCT, median central retinal thickness reference limit was taken as 250 µm. In the present study, we provide a descriptive analysis of SD-OCT based morphologic patterns of DME and study the association of alteration in macular thickness parameters with severity of diabetic retinopathy.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

Our study had institutional review board clearance and was performed in accordance to the tenets of the Helsinki declaration. In this tertiary care center based prospective cross sectional study, 20 consecutive cases each of diabetes mellitus without retinopathy (No DR), non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) (based on ETDRS classification) and 20 healthy controls were recruited. Cases with systemic or ocular diseases affecting retinal vascular pathology, any previous ophthalmic surgical or laser interventions, signal strength 5 or below on OCT examination were excluded from the study. The best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was recorded on logMAR scale. Information regarding subject’s age, gender, disease duration, status of retinopathy was recorded. Slit lamp biomicroscopic and dilated ophthalmoscopic examination were performed. Subsequently, all the study subjects underwent macular thickness analysis using SD-OCT [Cirrus High Definition OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA, U.S.A)]. Macular cube 512x128 feature was used and central subfield thickness (CST) (µm) and cube average thickness (CAT) (µm) were documented. CST was defined as thickness of the central circle in the circular map known as the ETDRS Grid. CAT was defined as an overall average thickness for the internal limiting membrane-retinal pigment epithelium tissue layer over the entire 6 x 6 mm square scanned area. DME was defined as cases with CAT above the reference limit of 250 µm. The ETDRS definition of CSME includes: central and noncentral types. Central diabetic macular edema (CDME) accounts for retinal thickening within 500 µm of the center of the macula or, hard exudates within 500 µm from the center of the macula with thickening of the adjacent retina. The noncentral type of CSME is defined as a zone of retinal thickening, 1 disc area or larger, any portion of which is located within 1 disc diameter from the center of the macula.

Data is presented as mean ± standard error. The continuous variables (age, CST, CAT and BCVA on logMAR scale) of the study groups were compared by one factor analysis of variance (ANOVA). Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was used to compare the discrete variable. Pearson correlation analysis was used to assess association between the study variables. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed on STATISTICA (window version 6.0) software.

**RESULTS**

Out of eighty study subjects, 50 were males and 30 females. The mean age in years was 49.45 ± 10.61, 55.35 ± 7.65, 52.45 ± 6.73 and 50.15 ± 9.15 in healthy controls, No DR, NPDR and PDR groups respectively. No statistical difference was found among the age (F=1.9, p>0.05) and sex of the study groups ($\chi^2$=2.8, p>0.05). Mean duration (years) of diabetes mellitus in each group was Control: 0.0±0.0; NoDR: 6.15±4.87; NPDR: 10.43±6.14; PDR: 10.25±5.17. On comparing the duration of diabetes, ANOVA revealed significant difference among the study groups (F=21.846, p=0.0001).Summary of BCVA (logMAR), CST and CAT in the study groups has been shown in Table 1.Significant increase in CST and CAT and decrease in BCVA was found between the study groups (p<0.001, p<0.001, p<0.0001, respectively). Pearson correlation analysis revealed a negative correlation of BCVA with CST (r=0.4, p<0.05) and CAT (r=0.2, p<0.001). CAT was found to be more sensitive predictor of BCVA.
Table 1: Summary of visual acuity (logMAR), central subfield thickness, cube average thickness in the study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>No DR</th>
<th>NPDR</th>
<th>PDR</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logMAR visual acuity</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.61</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of central subfield thickness (μm)</td>
<td>241.65 ± 35.96</td>
<td>248.25 ± 50.05</td>
<td>265.70 ± 65.78</td>
<td>374.60 ± 150.22</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of cube average thickness (μm)</td>
<td>245.35 ± 12.63</td>
<td>266.20 ± 42.75</td>
<td>275.15 ± 74.64</td>
<td>315.60 ± 58.2</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 summarizes macular edema in study subjects assessed by CAT more than the reference limit of 250 μm. Maximum number of cases with macular edema was found in PDR group followed by NPDR and No DR. Forty cases with CAT above 250 μm were further classified as: diffuse retinal thickening (n=60%, 24/40); cystoid macular edema (n=25%, 10/40); serous retinal detachment (n=15%, 6/40).

Table 2: Summary of morphological patterns of macular edema in study subjects assessed by cube average thickness above 250 μm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Below cut-off value</th>
<th>Above cut-off value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No DR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Diffuse macular thickening on spectral domain optical coherence tomography.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, we provide a descriptive analysis of SD-OCT based morphologic patterns of DME and study the association of alteration in macular thickness parameters with severity of diabetic retinopathy. Significant association of increase in CST and CAT was observed with the increase in severity of retinopathy and decrease in BCVA. The findings of the present study are in accordance with several other studies. \(^2\textendash}^7\)

Menchini et al, in a systematic review analysis for detection of macular edema on SD-OCT in patients with diabetic retinopathy, observed the median central retinal thickness reference limit for data extraction as 250 µm. \(^19\)This criteria was also used by the DRCR.net.\(^{22}\) Central retinal thickness does not result in a poor performance due to inability to detect the noncentral CSME. This has been demonstrated by studies which found a strong correlation between extrafoveal and foveal thickness measurements, suggesting similar reference limits. \(^23\textendash}^24\) Sadda et al. \(^25\) used the Macular Grid 5 scanning protocol and a complex diagnostic algorithm that accounted for a separate recognition of CDME. Our novel approach of taking a 250 micron reference limit of cube average thickness provides a global perspective of diabetic macular edema. In our present study, 40 patients have CAT more than the reference limit.

Among the many classifications of DME based on OCT, Kim et al classified macular edema into diffuse retinal thickening, cystoids macular edema, serous retinal detachment.\(^{26}\) Forty cases with CAT...
above 250 µm were further classified: diffuse retinal thickening (n=60%, 24/40); cystoid macular edema (n=25%, 10/40); serous retinal detachment (n=15%, 6/40).

CONCLUSION

Current study presents a novel approach of defining diabetic macular edema by cube average thickness which provides a global perspective. It also demonstrates a significant association between SD-OCT based macular thickness parameters with severity of diabetic retinopathy.
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