Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

Indian Journal of Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology

Journal homepage: www.ijceo.org

Original Research Article

Comparison of visual acuity measured by ETDRS based smart phone applications I sight pro and Peek acuity versus traditional Snellen's chart visual acuity in children 6-14 years in a tertiary care institute in India

Sinchana Adyanthaya¹, Abhilash B^{2,*}

¹Darshana Eye Institute, India

²Dept. of Ophthalmology, KVG Medical College, Sullia, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 01-07-2021

Accepted 11-08-2021

Available online 03-01-2022

Keywords:

ETDRS

Visual acuity

Smart phone

Teleophthalmology

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Covid-19 pandemic has led to children missing schools due to which eye care screening activities at schools have taken a backseat. To mitigate this home based visual acuity estimation smartphone applications are increasingly being used for the purpose of tele-ophthalmology purposes, although with no validation of the same. We aim to fulfil this lacunae.

Materials and Methods: Current study was a cross sectional analysis on 286 eyes of 148 children aged between 6years to 14years attending our OPD from April 2021 to June 2021, with non-acute ocular symptomatology. Snellen's distant visual acuity was compared against the visual acuity recorded by smart phone based Isight pro app and Peek acuity smart phone app. Statistical analysis was performed by Bland Altman analysis by using SPSS version 21 and $p < 0.05$ was taken as the level of significance.

Results: The mean differences between the smartphone-based test Isight pro and the Snellen's chart and the smartphone-based test Peek acuity and Snellen's acuity data were 0.06 (95%CI, 0.04–0.08) and 0.07 (95%CI, 0.05–0.09) logMAR, respectively. Time taken to test visual acuity by Snellen's chart was approximately 118.2±21.38 seconds, whereas with Isight pro and Peek acuity smart phone apps the time for testing was approximately 92±15.88 seconds and 94.03±19.73 seconds respectively. $P < 0.05$.

Conclusions: The mean visual acuity was comparable with Snellen's chart and ETDRS based smart phone apps like Isight pro and Peek acuity. The mean time to assess visual acuity was slightly more in Snellen's acuity than with smart phone based apps. Inability to access eye care services through screening activities in schools has been mitigated effectively by using these smart phone apps. Hence these smart phone apps can be effectively used in tele-ophthalmologic practice and screening activities in these COVID-19 pandemic times.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Access to healthcare in the current COVID-19 pandemic times has taken a major hit especially in a developing country like ours, where the health awareness and health education is already lacking, leading to a mole becoming a hill in terms of prevalence of both communicable

and non-communicable diseases.^{1–3} Due to the pandemic, ocular care has taken a backseat unless it's deemed as an emergency. A large spectrum of patients with ocular symptoms have suffered in these pandemic times, mainly patients with perennial or seasonal allergic conjunctivitis, vernal kerato-conjunctivitis, chronic dry eye disease, patients with glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, retinal disorders, refractive errors, amblyopia and many other conditions.³

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: abhilashgowda139@gmail.com (Abhilash B).

COVID -19 pandemic has restricted movement of patients for non-emergency ailments, it has also increased their anxiety, apprehension and hesitancy in attending hospitals and clinics to seek eye care. It has caused a loss of normal routine eye screening conducted at schools leading to delayed treatment of refractive errors, allergies and other ocular pathologies leading to increased ocular morbidity among school going children.⁴

To circumvent this tele-ophthalmology was a shot in the arm which helped mitigate this, as access to eye care was more easier and accessible at the comfort of their home and rooms.⁵ Although tele-ophthalmology was started as a novel initiative to provide basic eye care for people living in rural or inaccessible parts of the India, due to the pandemic there has been a 257% to 700% increase in tele-ophthalmology calls in the past year or so alone and made accessible to both the remotest places to the most urban centres. With this tremendous increase in the tele-ophthalmology part of consultation it is imperative to have the right equipment and processes to evaluate and analyse and validate our examination systems and protocols to gain maximum information for making a diagnosis.^{6,7}

One of the most important events or examination protocols followed in any ocular practice is assessment of accurate visual acuity. In clinical practice as of now the gold standard for assessing visual acuity is the Snellen's chart.⁸ To assess visual acuity in tele-ophthalmology setting we usually take help of the multitude of available smartphone and mobile apps available on both Apple I store and android platforms. Although proper validation of the visual acuity measured by these apps are still lacking.^{9,10} If validated it would provide both the clinician and parents an objective assessment of visual acuity of their wards. This validation would also provide the necessary trust for the clinician to take appropriate management measures based on the V/A measured by the home testing apps with less hesitancy.¹⁰

In our current study we aim to compare, analyse and validate the visual acuity analysed by the gold standard Snellen's chart at our out-patient department versus that of the visual acuity analysed by the Apple I store based apps and the android based apps used at home in patients of paediatric age group from 6-14 years.

2. Materials and Methods

The current study was a cross-sectional study conducted on 286 eyes of 148 children attending our OPD from Oct 2020 to June 2021 at a tertiary institute in India. The study was conducted after due clearance from the institutional ethics committee. Informed consent was taken from the children's parents/ their guardian. Best corrected distance visual acuity of all children in the age group of 6-14 years was assessed by Snellen's chart, ISight Pro mobile application on Apple store and by Peek acuity app available on android platform. The current two applications Isight Pro and Peek acuity

were selected based on the potential ability to assess visual acuity at home by parents and clinicians alike and further extrapolate the data to suggest or disapprove of the above mentioned ways to assess visual acuity.

All children aged between 6-14 years with non-acute conditions attending our OPD were included in the study. Whereas children <6yrs and > 14yrs with acute conditions like conjunctivitis corneal ulcer, stye, ocular trauma, internal haemoloma, cataract and corneal pathologies like, corneal tear, corneal scar and dystrophies were excluded from the study. Also children with prior ocular surgeries were not included in the study.

Both the child and their parents/ guardian were given instructions and instruction brochure containing information about the appropriate distance, appropriate occlusion of one eye and lighting prerequisite needed for V/A assessment was given to understand how to measure visual acuity by apps. If any doubts they were free to ask the resident about the same.

Best corrected visual acuity was measured by using Optotype based V/A chart - Snellen's chart at a distance of 6 meters first, followed by V/A assessment by apps Isight Pro(Apple)- Iphone 7 in which the subject is made to read the optotypes at a distance of 3.0 meters and Peek acuity(Android)- Mi Note 8 pro, wherein the subject is made to read the optotypes at a distance of 3.0meters. Both Isight pro and Peek Acuity apps were based on ETDRS chart. We compared the ETDRS apps based visual acuity with Snellen's chart visual acuity as it was a more cost effective variant than the ETDRS chart which costs significantly more. All the V/A values were noted and converted into logMAR for easy statistical analysis. Each child was asked to repeat the test three times to assess for reliability.

Statistical analysis were performed by using a Bland Altman analysis.¹¹ $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant and all values were statistically analysed by using IBM SPSS ver 21.

3. Results

Out of 286 eyes examined, the median age of our study population was 9 years (6-14). 54.43% of them were boys and 45.57% girls.

The 95%CI limits for test-retest variability of smartphone acuity data were ± 0.025 logMAR. On performing a Bland Altman analysis, the mean differences between the smartphone-based test I sight pro and the Snellen's chart and the smartphone-based test Peek acuity and Snellen's acuity data were 0.06 (95%CI, 0.04–0.08) and 0.07 (95%CI, 0.05–0.09) logMAR, respectively, indicating that ETDRS smartphone-based test acuities agreed well with Snellen charts.

Time taken to test visual acuity by Snellen's chart was approximately 118.2 ± 21.38 seconds, whereas with Isight pro and Peek acuity smart phone apps the time for testing

Fig. 1: Demographic data

Fig. 2: Bland Altman analysis, the mean differences between the smartphone-based test iSight pro and the Snellen's chart

Fig. 3: Bland Altman analysis, the mean differences between the smartphone-based test Peek Acuity app and the Snellen's chart

was approximately 92 ± 15.88 seconds and 94.03 ± 19.73 seconds respectively. $P < 0.05$

Fig. 4: Average mean time for testing the visual acuity by Snellen's chart, iSight Pro app and Peek Acuity app

4. Discussion

A formal assessment of visual system begins with the estimation of visual acuity, usually by a Snellen's chart. Although the standard chart for measurement of VA is the logMAR ETDRS chart, it is expensive and hence Snellen's chart has been used as an effective alternative. From the observations of our current study the visual acuity measured by ETDRS based smart phone apps in children aged between 6-14 years was reliable and repeatable when compared with that of the snellens' acuity measured by Snellen's chart. Similar findings although in older population was observed in a study conducted by Brady CJ et al in Kenya.¹²

On analysis of iSight Pro and Peek Acuity app visual acuity versus Snellen's distant acuity there was a negligible mean difference between the two readings 0.063 (95% CI, $0.041-0.083$) and 0.071 (95% CI, $0.05-0.92$) logMAR indicating that both the app based visual acuity measurement systems were comparable with Snellens acuity. The findings of our study was comparable to the study conducted by Bastawrous et al^{7,12} in 2015 who validated the use of smart phone based visual acuity apps for use in community ophthalmic practice.

Brady et al¹² study noted a mean time of measuring visual acuity was on average 77sec to 82 seconds but in our current study it was about on average 114.3 ± 6.2 sec seconds in the Snellen's acuity group, but whereas in the iSight Pro and Peek Acuity groups it was 98.1 ± 5.41 seconds and 94.72 ± 4.32 seconds. This was probably due to the children trying to understand the examination and also probably due to increased exposure of smart phone devices from an early age due to which they could grasp the Smart phone based visual acuity examination pattern much faster than the Snellen's acuity examination pattern.^{12,13}

5. Conclusion

COVID-19 pandemic has caused a large populace of children missing schools and thereby also missing some of the added screening programs to detect eye health in children by school teachers. During times like these it is imperative that parents and their guardians be able to assess and analyse visual acuity which forms the very basis of all eye examinations. From our study we conclude that the app based visual acuity apps Isight Pro and Peek acuity provide reliable and repeatable visual acuity as compared with that of Snellen's acuity. It is also an effective tool to provide health education in community ophthalmology settings. From a Ophthalmologists' perspective it provides accurate and dependable VA results and can be used as a basis for treatment referrals and management especially in the COVID-19 pandemic era where teleophthalmology services has surged like never before.

6. Lacunae of the Study

A much larger sample size is needed and a home based testing conducted by parents would provide a better representation of the study than the current study.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Blumenthal D, Fowler EJ, Abrams M, Collins SR. Covid-19-implications for the health care system. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383:1483–8.
2. Toro MD, Brézin AP, Burdon M, Cummings AB, Kemer OE, Malyugin BE, et al. Early impact of COVID-19 outbreak on eye care: Insights from EUROCOVCAT group. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2021;31(1):5–9.
3. Gupta PC, Kumar MP, Ram J. COVID-19 pandemic from an ophthalmology point of view. *Indian J Med Res*. 2020;151(5):411–8.
4. Vashist P, Senjam SS, Gupta V, Manna S, Agrawal S, Gupta N, et al. Community eye-health and vision center guidelines during COVID-19 pandemic in India. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2020;68(7):1306.
5. Prathiba V, Rema M. Teleophthalmology: A Model for Eye Care Delivery in Rural and Underserved Areas of India. *Int J Family Med*. 2011;2011:683267. doi:10.1155/2011/683267.
6. Saleem SM, Pasquale LR, Sidoti PA, Tsai JC. Virtual Ophthalmology: Telemedicine in a COVID-19 Era. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2020;216:237–42.
7. Bastawrous A, Rono HK, Livingstone IAT, Weiss HA, Jordan S, Kuper H, et al. Development and validation of a smartphone-based visual acuity test (Peek Acuity) for clinical practice and community-based fieldwork. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2015;133(8):930–7.
8. Noushad B, Thomas J, Amin SV. Reliability of a modified logMAR distant visual acuity chart for routine clinical use. *Oman J Ophthalmol*. 2012;5(2):87–90.
9. Painter S, Ramm L, Wadlow L, O'Connor M, Sond B. Parental Home Vision Testing of Children During Covid-19 Pandemic. *Br Ir Orthoptic J*. 2021;17(1):13–9.
10. Tofigh S, Shortridge E, Elkeeb A, Godley BF. Effectiveness of a smartphone application for testing near visual acuity. *Eye (Lond)*. 2015;29(11):1464–8.
11. Giavarina D. Understanding Bland Altman analysis. *Biochem Med (Zagreb)*. 2015;25(2):141–51.
12. Brady CJ, Eghrari AO, Labrique AB. Smartphone-Based Visual Acuity Measurement for Screening and Clinical Assessment. *JAMA*. 2015;314(24):2682–3.
13. Perera C, Chakrabarti R, Islam FM, Crowston J. The Eye Phone Study: reliability and accuracy of assessing Snellen visual acuity using smartphone technology. *Eye*. 2015;29:888–94.

Author biography

Sinchana Adyanthaya, Consultant

Abhilash B, Assistant Professor

Cite this article: Adyanthaya S, Abhilash B. Comparison of visual acuity measured by ETDRS based smart phone applications I sight pro and Peek acuity versus traditional Snellen's chart visual acuity in children 6-14 years in a tertiary care institute in India. *Indian J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2021;7(4):634-637.